THE STOLAR PARTNERSHIP LLP 911 Washington Avenue, 7th Floor St. Louis, Missouri 63101 Telephone: (314) 231-2800 Fax: (314) 436-8400 H. Kent Munson

Attorneys for Lutheran Senior Services Claim No. 23928/Docket No. 26174

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

LUTHERAN SENIOR SERVICES' SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DEBTORS' TWO HUNDRED SIXTY-FIRST OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (NO GUARANTEE CLAIMS)

TO THE HONORABLE JAMES M. PECK, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Lutheran Senior Services, a creditor of the debtor Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc. ("LBH"), respectfully submits its Supplemental Response to the above-referenced Objection.

Preliminary Statement

1. Lutheran Senior Services timely filed its Response to the Objection, which is incorporated by reference herein. On April 25, 2012, Lutheran Senior Services obtained for the first time a document relevant and critical to its argument in opposition to the Objection called a "Unanimous Written Consent of the Executive Committee of the Board of Directors of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.," ("Consent"), which is attached as Exhibit 1 hereto and incorporated by reference. Accordingly, Lutheran Senior Services hereby supplements its original Response with

such Consent. Debtors have agreed to accept the Consent as part of Lutheran Senior Services' Response without making any admissions.

- 2. Lutheran Senior Services timely filed its proof of claim for Claim No. 23948 ("Claim") in this bankruptcy proceeding, based on Debtor Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc.'s ("LBH") unconditional guarantee of the obligations of Lehman Brothers Special Financing, Inc.'s ("Special Financing") under a Reserve Fund Agreement ("Agreement") dated February 17, 2006 (Global ID #2392963) (the "Guarantee"). (A copy of Lutheran Senior Services' proof of claim was attached as Exhibit 1, and a copy of the Agreement was attached as Exhibit 2, to Lutheran Senior Services' original Response to the Objection).
- 3. In the Objection, LBH has asserted that because Lutheran Senior Services did not include with its proof of claim a copy of the Guarantee, the Claim should be disallowed and expunged. (Objection at ¶11). From this failure to attach a copy of the executed Guarantee, LBH asserts that it did not issue one, and, thus, the Guarantee cannot be enforced against LBH.
- 4. LBH's argument is factually incorrect because, pursuant to the Consent, LBH expressly waived the necessity of an executed Guarantee and explicitly agreed that its Guarantee would be enforceable even in the absence of a formally executed document. Thus, the Objection should be denied as to the Claim.

Argument

5. In 2006, in conjunction with the Health and Educational Facilities Authority of the State of Missouri, Senior Living Facilities Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A ("Bonds") issued for the benefit of Lutheran Senior Services, the Agreement was executed by and among The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. ("BNY"), Lutheran Senior Services, and Special

Financing for the investment of the debt service reserve funds related to the Bonds. (Agreement, Ex. 2).

- 6. Special Financing breached its obligation to Lutheran Senior Services under that Agreement. LBH unconditionally guaranteed the obligations of Special Financing under that Agreement and also breached its obligation to Lutheran Senior Services under its Guarantee, as a result of which failure Lutheran Senior Services was deprived of the benefit to which it was entitled under that Agreement.
- 7. The damages arising from such breach are the subject of a Termination Agreement by and among The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A., Lutheran Senior Services and Special Financing dated September 22, 2009. (A copy of the Termination Agreement was attached as Exhibit 4 to Lutheran Senior Services' original Response to the Objection). Payment of such damages are an unconditional obligation of LBH pursuant to the Guarantee.
- 8. Although LBH's original, executed Guarantee appears never to have been delivered to Lutheran Senior Services, the Consent expressly provides that the formal execution of the Guarantee was unnecessary, and that LBH intended to be bound by the terms of the Guarantee without formally executing it.
- 9. The Consent recites that it was given to "clarify that [LBH's] guarantee of any Guaranteed Subsidiary with respect to any given transaction is not contingent upon the issuance of a signed guarantee pertaining to such transaction." (Ex. 1 at p. 1, emphasis added).
- 10. The Consent expressly resolves that LBH "hereby fully guarantees the payment of all liabilities, obligations and commitments of the subsidiaries set forth on Schedule A

08-13555-mg Doc 27803 Filed 05/08/12 Entered 05/11/12 14:32:27 Main Document Pa 4 of 8

hereto, each of which shall be a Guaranteed Subsidiary for purposes of the Code." (Ex. 1 at p. 2,

emphasis added).

11. Special Financing is identified as a Guaranteed Subsidiary in Schedule A to the

Consent. (Ex. 1 at p. 3, Schedule A at line 16),

12. The Consent was duly executed on June 9, 2005 by Richard S. Fuld, Jr., then the

Chairman and CEO of LBH, and John D. Macomber, a Director of LBH. (Ex. 1 at p. 2).

13. The Consent is dispositive of LBH's Objection to Lutheran Senior Services'

claim. Because LBH expressly waived the requirement of an executed Guarantee and explicitly

affirmed its unconditional obligation to guarantee the commitments of Special Financing, which

includes the obligations of Special Financing to Lutheran Senior Services under the Agreement

and the Termination Agreement, the Objection should be denied.

WHEREFORE, for all of the foregoing reasons, Creditor Lutheran Senior Services

respectfully requests that the Court deny the relief sought in Debtors' Two Hundred Sixty-First

Omnibus Objection to Claims (No Guarantee Claims) as to Claim No. 23948 and order such

additional relief that the Court deems appropriate.

Dated: May , 2012

St. Louis, Missouri

H. KENT MUNSON

If Kent Munson

THE STOLAR PARTNERSHIP LLP

911 Washington Avenue, 7th Floor

St. Louis, Missouri 63101

Telephone: (314) 231-2800

Fax: (314) 436-8400

hkm@stolarlaw.com

Attorneys for Creditor Lutheran Senior

Services

4

EXHIBIT 1

TO LUTHERAN SENIOR SERVICES' SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DEBTORS' TWO HUNDRED SIXTY-FIRST OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (NO GUARANTEE CLAIMS)

86/88/2005 16:41 LEMAN + 916467582653

NO.584 081

UNANIMOUS WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE

Executive commutee of the

BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF

LERMAN PROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.

The undersigned, being both members of the Executive Committee of the Board of Directors of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "Corporation"), do hereby adopt the following resolutions by unanimous written consent in lieu of a meeting in accordance with Section 141(f) of the General Corporation Law of the Seate of Delaware:

WHEREAS, the Conjoration has previously authorized by specific resolution, which authority has not been revoked (the "Cutstanding Guarantee Resolutions"), the guarantee of all or specified obligations and liabilities of certain direct and indirect subsidiaries of the Corporation, each of which is a "Guaranteed Subsidiary" as such term is used in the Corporation's Code of Authorities as currently in effect (the "Code"),

WHEREAS, certain of the Guaranteed Subsidiaries presently enjoy full guarantees while others have only partial guarantees, and the Corporation now wishes to expand such partial guarantees to full guarantees,

WHEREAS, due to the passage of time the names of certain of the Guaranteed Subsidiaries have changed, rendering the Quastanding Guarantee Resolutions out of date to that extent,

WHEREAS, the Corporation wishes to clarify that its guarantee of any Guaranteed Subsidiary with respect to any given transaction is not confingent upon the issuance of a signed guarantee pertaining to such transaction.

WHEREAS, Management wishes to establish additional Guaranteed Subsidiaries,

WHEREAS, Management wishes to specify that to the extent lawful and allowable, guarantees issued by the Corporation converning certain of the Guaranteed Subsidiaries should originate with the branch of the Corporation located in London, England, so as to secure certain tax and accounting benefits, and

WHEREAS, Management believes that it would facilitate the conduct of the business of the Corporation to supersade and teplace the various Cutstanding Guarantee Resolutions in their entirety with this single document,

NOW THEREFORE BE IT.

06-09-06 11161 JDK INVESTMENTS

10~2020089294

P.02

 LEADE + SIZETIONS!

10.298 SK NO.584 V22

RESOLVED, that the Conjunction benefit fully guarantees the payment of all liabilities, obligations and compilments of the subsidiaries set forth on Schedule A hersto, each of which shall be a Charanteed Subsidiary for purposes of the Code;

RESOLVED, that he Counteding Counteries Resolutions are hereby supermeded and replaced in their eightsty with this single-document, provided that any quintenness provided pursuant to the Countering Gueranies Resolutions and constanding on the date barreof, whether in the form of a separately executed individual guarantee or otherwise, thall remain issued, currentabling and valid for all purposes:

MESCALVED, that guarantees provided by that Corporation concerning sareals of the Gromanical Subsidiaries should ariginate with the branch of the Corporation lovesed in Landon, England, to the extent lawful and allowable, as appointed on Schedule A hereo:

RESOLVED, that each of the persons listed in the Code (as it may be amended from time to time) as being authorized to appears individual guarantees terush by the Composition with respect to Composition, or any proper delegae thereof (collectively, "Authorized Persons"), are himply authorized, in the came and on behalf of the Composition, to excepts such guarantees in such form as is approved by an antonney of the Composition and such Authorized Person, subject to say limitations specified librein, bits or her execution of each such guarantee to be conflusive evidence of approval thereof; and to do such other sets and things at may be advisable or necessary in order to affect the purposes and incert of these relativisms; and

FURTHER RESILIVED, that may and all actions communicated by the foregoing resolutions and taken by such Androized Persons prior to the days bessel are hereby smithed, confirmed and approved in all respects.

Direct: June 7, 2005

Richard S. Fuld, Jr.

John D. Mazombar

9

05-09-03 69140

RECEIVED FROM:212 526 9349

9.84

86/86/2005

16141

LEIDAN > 916467582653

NO.584 783

Schedule A to LBHI Onsolmons Written Consent dated June 9, 2008

ORDER WITH THE WORLD	Name of Subsidiary	inue Corporation guarantee from tranch located in London, England, to the extent lawful and allowable?
1.	Lehman Brothers Asia Holdings Limited	No
2,	Lehman Brothers Bankhaus A.G.	Yes (London branch of such subsidiary only)
3.	Lehman Brothers Commercial Bank	No
4,	Lehman Brothers Commercial Corporation	No
5.	Lehman Brothers Commercial Corporation Asia Limited	No
· 6.	Lehman Brothers Equity Finance (Cayman) Limited	No
7.	Lehman Brothers Finance S.A.	No
8,	Lehman Brothers Holdings Pic	You
9.	Lehman Brothers International (Europe)	Yés
10.	Lebinan Brothers Japan Inc.	No
11.	Lahman Brothers (Luxembourg) Equity Finance S.A.	No
12.	Lefuniti Brothers (Luxembourg) S.A.	No
19.	Lehman Brothers OTC Derivatives Inc.	No
14.	Lehman Brothers Securities Asia Limited	No
15,	Lehman Brothers Securities N.V.	No
. 16,	Lehtnan Brothers Special Financing Inc.	No
. 37.	Lehman Brothers Treasury Co. B.V.	No
18.	Lehman Re Limited	No